UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/881,041	06/15/2001	Glenn Philander Vonk	39994	5157
	7590 03/17/200 et, VP & Chief IP Cour	EXAMINER		
Becton, Dickins	son and Company	THOMAS, JOSEPH		
1 Becton Drive MC 110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Franklin Lakes,	NJ 07417-1880	3626		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/881,041	VONK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JOSEPH THOMAS	3626		

	JOSEPH THOMAS	3626					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 December 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abarit, or other evidence, very with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
 a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the control of the cont	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH).	ng date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply oriç	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>1/22/2008</u>. A brief in co of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exi Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of	the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NC		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally re	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		III be entered and an e	xpianation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10.	of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Joseph Thomas/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3626	Joseph Thomas SPE Art Unit: 3626						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments appear to consider references individually, and not in the manner combined by the Examiner in the prior rejections. For example, the arguments made at page 5 with respect to the Summerell reference clearly is based on viewing the teachings of Summerell in a vaccuum. Applicant fails to properly consider the suggestings of Summerell within the collective system described by Ballantyne, Summerell, and Joao. Other arguments appear to re-hash issues previously addressed in the Final Rejection of 8/22/2007. With respect to the Applicant's argument to the Official Notice taken in the last rejection, the Examiner re-iterates the position described in pages 28-29 of the Final Rejection mailed 8/22/2007. Without a properal traversal of the Official Notice by Applicant, the Examiner's statement of what is well-known in the art is taken to be admitted prior art.